SHARED SERVICE INSPIRATION No. 4

Difficult Details - The Legals



You should read this fact sheet if you want to begin to:

- ☐ Understand the collaborative vehicles available for sharing services
- ☐ Understand the legal structures available for shared services
- ☐ Understand the legal implications of sharing services

Curious?

Creative thought can often be stifled by pragmatism and perceived hurdles. So at what point should you consider the difficult detail of legal structures and collaborative vehicles? For the most part, you won't be able to determine either of these until you know the shape of your project and the type of partners with whom you wish to collaborate. But there are rules surrounding certain types of partner that may make cost saving, therefore their inclusion, impossible. If you'd like to find out more, including the latest on VAT, TUPE and pensions, then read on.

Key questions

At the 2012 AoC Shared Services Conference, it was clear that delegates had many unknowns and concerns around the legal aspects of sharing services. Key questions included:

- Who will control the joint venture?
- Who will own the transferred assets that are currently ours?
- Will we be allowed to make a profit?
- Will there be any limitation on the scalability of our idea?
- Will partners have limited liability?
- Is charitable status available?
- What type of finance may be available?
- What are the implications for staffing: reductions, redundancies, and TUPE?
- Will our shared service be liable for VAT?
- Will our shared service initiative pass the Teckal exemption test?

Making the most of this resource

This isn't just a fact sheet; it's the start of a journey on which you will consider some key information and implications for your project. Throughout the text, you will be given the opportunity to complete a few short tasks to collect your emerging thoughts and ideas and translate them into your context. You can make notes on the *SS Ideas* back page.

Task 1: Read through the key questions in the 'Comparison of legal structures' on page 3 and begin to create a profile for your joint venture. Use the prompts on your SS Ideas page.

Key questions answered

On page three of this fact sheet, you will find a matrix of answers to all of these questions re-interpreted for 11 legal-structure options. This should be treated as an 'easy way in' to the many specific legal advice documents the AoC has commissioned over the last two years – all of which can be found in the 'Next steps' section of this fact sheet.

Arguably, the most appropriate legal structure and collaborative vehicle for your shared service ideas will largely be determined by the type of project and providers you will work with. This may well mean that these decisions should be considered later rather than sooner, but there are one or two questions it's worth considering early on. Take VAT and the Teckal exemption test for instance.

This document contains general advice and information only. Specific legal advice should be taken for individual circumstances and contexts.

Difficult Details - The Legals

The European ruling, endorsed by HMRC, states that when two or more organisations (that carry out a degree of VAT exempt activity) give responsibility for a discrete element of their provision to a separate business entity which is wholly owned by themselves, they will not be liable for VAT on the services provided by their joint company – which, of course, is great for shared service initiatives.

The Teckal test was designed to ensure that public contract tendering and procurement was transparent, fair and encouraged healthy competition. So how does a shared service joint venture that is designed to ring-fence the provision of services to a jointly owned, but separate, company or 'entity' fit with the Teckal test? The news, again, is good. The 'Teckal exemption' recognises the value of organisations working together to create efficiencies. In a typical contract between, say, a College and a Commercial Provider (CP), the College will not have any direct influence over the CP's Board of Directors. In a shared service Joint Venture (JV), however, all of the Colleges own and have a direct influence over the Board/governance arrangements, just as they would if it were an in-house department. In this latter instance, then, the Teckal exemption applies.

Both the Teckal and HMRC exemptions work well for public service organisations whose substantive work is VAT exempt. The exemptions begin to break down, however, if a commercial organisation, such as a private training provider, is introduced into the joint venture.

Task 2: If you are considering including a private training provider in your Joint Venture, jot down the implications on your SS Ideas page.

Pensions

For those employees who move to your joint venture shared services company, but do not remain active members of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) or Teachers' Pension Scheme (TPS), under TUPE, the shared services company has an obligation to provide at least specific minimum pension arrangements. It is likely, however, that the transfer to a shared services company will be caught by specific rules relating to public sector transfers which require the shared services company to provide the transferring staff with a broadly comparable pension entitlement to the one which they enjoyed with their College employer. This is part of the "Fair Deal policy, which could be revoked following review by the Government. (Mills & Reeve).

Collaborative vehicle vs. legal structure

For readers new to the 'difficult detail' of shared services, the terminology around collaborative vehicles and legal structures can seem confusing, or even impenetrable. For instance, if you choose a collaborative vehicle, such as 'Consortium', you can go on to use any one of a number of legal structures to bring it into being, such as:

- Company limited by guarantee
- Company limited by guarantee with charitable status
- Company limited by shares
- Unincorporated association etc.

In the AoC's 'Shared Services Evaluation Report: the many faces of collaboration', we published three new draft taxonomies supported by a glossary:

- Vehicles for collaboration
- Legal structures
- Services to be shared

The 'vehicles for collaboration' taxonomy is based on Dr Kathy Bland's Continuum of Collaboration, and ranges from the low risk 'unitary' approach, up to the higher risk 'outsourcing'. Each subsidiary term is defined in the glossary so that you can find the right vehicle for your initiative. The 11 legal structures on page three of this fact sheet make up the second taxonomy. Using the questions in this fact sheet and the definitions in the glossary, you should be able to produce a short list of options on which to consult your legal experts.

You may also be interested in a number websites that offer legal structure diagnostic tools, such as:

Cooperative society – Select-a-structure: www.uk.coop/select-structure-comprehensive

Legal structure Decision Tool: www.getlegal.org.uk/user/registers.html

Task 3: Shortlist the collaborative vehicles and legal structures using this fact sheet and resources on the AoC website. Jot down your notes on your SS Ideas page.

This document contains general advice and information only. Specific legal advice should be taken for individual circumstances and contexts.

Comparison of legal structures

	Limited liability partnership	Company Iimited by shares	Company limited by guarantee	Company limited by guarantee (with charitable status)	Cooperative society	Community interest company	Community benefit society	Industrial and provident society	Charitable incorporated organisation	Memor-andum of agreement	Mutual
Who will control	Partners*	Board of Directors*	Board of Directors*	Board of Trustees*	Management committee*	Board of Directors*	Management committee*	Management committee*	Board of Directors*	NA	Fully or majority
tne joint venture:	* Principals are	likely to be the p.	artners, direct	* Principals are likely to be the partners, directors, trustees or management committee members and so will be responsible jointly for control	nagement commit.	tee members and	so will be respons.	ible jointly for co	ntrol		owned by members
Who will own the transferred assets that are currently yours?	Partners	Shareholders	Members	Members	The society	Members or shareholders, depending on structure	Members	The society	Members	Assets not transferred	Fully or majority owned by members
Will you be allowed to make a profit?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes: shared by members	No	Yes, but reinvested to benefit the community it serves	Yes, but reinvested to benefit the community it serves	No	In accordance with agreement (may be subject to VAT)	Yes
Will there be any limitation on the scalability of your idea?	No	No	No	No	No	No	Probably, due to community focus	No	(New legal structure, not yet available)	oN	Yes
Will partners have limited liability?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes, by shares or guarantee	Yes	Yes, by shares or guarantee	Yes	No	NA
Is charitable status available?	No	Very rarely	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Very rarely	Yes, only	No	NA
What types of finance may be available?	Loans, equity finance, rarely grants	Loans, equity finance, rarely grants	Grants and loans	Grants and loans	Loans, equity finance, rarely grants	Grants, loans, equity finance	Loans, equity finance, rarely grants	Loans, equity finance, rarely grants	Grants and loans	Specific to each party	NA
What are the implications for staffing: reductions, redundancies and TUPE?	The decision by relevant transfr of staff, TUPE I	y a College to ce er for the purpo planning will be	ase to undert ses of TUPE (y vital.	The decision by a College to cease to undertake specified activities on its own behalf and have them carried out by another party is likely to amount to a relevant transfer for the purposes of TUPE (the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006). As TUPE creates an automatic transfer of staff, TUPE planning will be vital.	vities on its own ndertakings (Prol	behalf and have tection of Emplo	them carried out	t by another par ons 2006). As TC	rty is likely to an JPE creates an au	nount to a tromatic transfer	None
Will your shared service be liable for VAT?	When two or m to a separate bu company.	nore organisatio usiness entity w	ns (that carry hich is wholly	When two or more organisations (that carry out a degree of VAT exempt activity) give responsibility for a discrete element of their provision to a separate business entity which is wholly owned by themselves, they will not be liable for VAT on the services provided by their joint company.	AT exempt activ: selves, they will 1	ity) give respons not be liable for V	ibility for a discr VAT on the servic	ete element of t. ses provided by	heir provision their joint	Depends on nafure of the agreement	This is not a shared service structure
Will your shared service initiative pass the Teckal exemption test?	Separate contrabusiness. No or procuremently, incompatible w	acting organisat ne organisation will only apply ith Teckal.	ions may ope is required to in arrangeme	Separate contracting organisations may operate a joint venture(IV)/company as a consortium provided that consortium has collective control over the IV's business. No one organisation is required to have a decisive influence. The Teckal exemption, (governing transparency in public contract tendering and procurement), will only apply in arrangements between jointly contracting organisations. Any private interest, or interest from parties outside of the IV, is incompatible with Teckal.	re(JV)/company antiquence. The Tec It contracting or	as a consortium l ckal exemption, l ganisations. Any	provided that cor governing trans private interest,	nsortium has co parency in publ or interest fron	llective control o ic contract tende 1 parties outside	ver the JV's ring and of the JV, is	NA

Difficult Details - The Legals

Next steps

Attend the AoC Shared Services Conference, Tuesday 12 March 2013, to learn from real experiences. Register your attendance at: www.aoccreateevents.co.uk/sharedservices2013 or email aoc-create@aoc.co.uk to register your interest.

Valuable reading includes:

- Mills & Reeves Legal Information
 - Legal structures, VAT, TUPE and pensions information
- Eversheds Report September 2012
 - Governance, procurement and pension implications of a selection of collaborative vehicles
- TUPE Glossary of Terms
 - Understanding TUPE terminology

- VAT: Cost Sharing Exemption
 - HMRC's statement on exemption to be introduced into UK legislation in the Finance Bill 2012
- Redundancies, avoiding and handling
 - A joint agreement on guidance to provide a framework for Further Education Colleges to establish a locally agreed policy and procedure with recognised trade unions for avoiding and handling redundancies

All of the above resources can be found at: www.aoc.co.uk/shared-services/materials/legal

Make your contribution

Shared services are an important and growing agenda for the FE sector. To learn more, register to read the AoC Shared Services Briefings to keep abreast of the very latest developments and good practice, join the Shared Services LinkedIn Group www.linkedin.com/groups/AoC-Shared-Services to share experiences or email: sharedservices@aoc.co.uk

SS Ideas Page

Who should control the Joint Venture? Who will own the transferred assets? Do you want to be able to make a profit? Would you like to be able to 'scale up' your initiative? Do you want limited liability? Is charitable status important to you? Will your Joint Venture need access to finance?	
Including a private training provider Benefits	
Restrictions	
Shortlist the possible collaborative vehicles	
Shortlist the possible legal structure	
Next steps Make a note of any reports or analysis that might help you explore your ideas.	
Learn more	www.aoc.co.uk/en/briefings/index.cfm, click on the Briefings library to view all the Shared Services Briefings